

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexascins, Virginia 22313-1450 www.emplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/801,130	03/16/2004	Georg Eggers	QIM 2003 P 50205 US	9233
68038 7590 04/07/2008 SLATER & MATSIL, L.L.P.			EXAMINER	
17950 PRESTON ROAD			RAHMAN, FAHMIDA	
SUITE 1000 DALLAS, TX	75252		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
-,			2116	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/07/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Application No. Applicant(s) 10/801,130 EGGERS ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit FAHMIDA RAHMAN 2116 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 08 January 2008. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims Claim(s) is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-19 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are; a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abevance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

application from the International Bureau (Po	CT Rule 17.2(a)).	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the	e certified copies not received.	
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)	
2) Notice of Droftenoreon's Potent Drowing Povious (PTO 049)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date	

12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).

2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage

Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.

Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_

a) All b) Some \* c) None of:

Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Tinformation Disclosure Statement(s) (FTO/S5/08)

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application

6) Other:

Art Unit: 2116

#### DETAILED ACTION

This final action is in response to communications filed on 1/8/08.

2. Claims 1, 5, 7-9, 12, 13, 16 and 19 have been amended, no claims have been cancelled

and no claims have been added. Thus, claims 1-19 are pending.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

 Claims 1-4, 6-7, 11-19 are rejected under 35 USC 102 (b) as being anticipated by Harvey (US Patent 5734285).

For claim 1, Harvey teaches the following limitations:

A system, comprising: a signal generator (150 in Fig 38) coupled to an input of a signal line (52), the signal generator generating a signal (CKD) of a particular frequency (CKD is the driver clock signal mentioned in line 20 of column 16. Therefore, it has a particular frequency); at least one receiving device (148 and 142) electrically coupled to an output of the signal line (148 is coupled to 52), wherein the at least one receiving device comprises a clock generator (148 comprises divide by N counter, which divides clock CKR to produce another clock LF as mentioned in lines 65-67 of column 15. Therefore, 148 can be considered as a clock generator) wherein the

Art Unit: 2116

clock generator is synchronized to the signal (LF is synchronized to CKD as it is generated from

CKR, which is generated from CKD) and generates a clock signal (LF is a clock signal, whose

frequency is CKR/N), wherein the clock signal comprises a frequency less than a frequency of

the signal of a particular frequency (LF's frequency is less than the frequency of CKD, since

CKD may have same frequency as CKR as mentioned in lines 25-30 of column 16); and an

impedance element (58) coupled to the input of the signal line (52), the impedance element

comprising an impedance chosen to create a resonant condition at the input of the signal line (58

is a resonating circuitry), wherein the resonant condition comprises a resonant frequency that

essentially coincides with the frequency of the signal (frequency of CKR is essentially same as

frequency of CKD).

For claim 2, Fig 31 shows that CKR is sinusoid. As CKD may be same clock as CKR, CKD is

also sinusoid. Lines 50-60 of column 8 mention that the CKR is sinusoid when only inductive

component is used.

For claim 3, 150 is a driver.

For claim 4, Fig 33 shows CKD can be almost rectangular.

For claims 6 and 7, 62 shows an implementation of resonator comprising inductive and

capacitive components.

For claim 11, counter is a semiconductor component.

For claim 12, the signal is used for generating clock, which is further used to co-ordinate data transfer in 14.

For claim 13, VC is the further signal. This signal is used to generate CKR, which is used to coordinate data transfer in 14.

For claim 14, VC represents the frequency difference and therefore, has lower frequency than CKD/CKR.

For claim 15, 140 is a PLL (lines 63-66 of column 15). Therefore, 142, 148 are part of PLL. Therefore, they can be considered as PLL circuit.

For claim 16, Harvey teaches the following limitations:

A process for generating a synchronizer, the process comprising:

- transmitting signal (CKD) from a signal generator device (150) coupled to an input of the signal line (52) to at least one receiving device (148 and 142) coupled to an output of the signal line in an electronic system (Fig 38), wherein the signal line (52) comprises a capacitive load (CPI is the capacitive load in Fig 38);
- coupling at least one additional device (58) at an output of the signal generator, the at least one additional device comprising an impedance such that a resonant oscillatory condition is created at an output of the signal generator (58 is a resonating circuit with inductance/capacitance to create a resonance in 146);
- adjusting a center frequency of the resonant oscillatory condition (CKR is adjusted to produce resonance), wherein the center frequency modified to essentially coincide with a

Art Unit: 2116

frequency of the signal (depending on the circuit component CKD and CKR can be same; lines 24-27 of column 16);

generating a clock signal (LF) synchronized to the signal (LF is produced from CKD.
Thus it is synchronized to the signal), wherein a frequency of the clock signal is less than the frequency of the signal, wherein the clock signal is generated by at least one receiving device (LF has lower frequency than CKD/CKR. LF is produced by 148).

For claim 17, Fig 14 shows the switches coupled to the resonating circuit to on/off the device. For claim 18, capacitors are implemented with capacitive diode (lines 20-21 of column 17). For claim 19, Fig 13 shows a design where two devices are in parallel.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior at are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 5, 8, 9, 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Harvey (US Patent 5734285).

For claim 5, the discussion related to Fig 38 does not mention about filtering the rectangular signal to produce a sinusoid signal. However, Fig 15 shows the waveform of P1 as rectangular, which is output of 66, the part of driver. If the resonating section only comprises inductor, then

the output waveform is sinusoid. Therefore, the design can include a filter in resonating section

to produce a sinusoid from a rectangular signal.

For claim 8, Harvey does not explicitly mention that capacitance is set during manufacture.

Examiner takes an official notice that setting capacitance during manufacture is well known in

the art. One ordinary skill would be motivated to set it depending on the design choice.

For claim 9, line 4 of column 9 mentions that inductor is variably adjusted.

For claim 10, note lines 20-21 of column 17.

### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed on 1/8/08 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that block 148 is coupled to input of the line 52, not the output of the line as

shown in Fig 38.

Examiner disagrees. If 148 is coupled to input of line 52, then it is connected to output of line

52, as the signal line 52 is not discontinued. Figure 38 clearly shows that 148 is coupled to both

input and output of 52.

Applicant further argues that 148 is not a receiving device because 148 supports a resonant

system arranged in a PLL configuration that generates signal CKR.

Art Unit: 2116

Examiner disagrees. 148 receives information from 52. Therefore, it is a receiving device. Claim

does not require that receiving device be separate from the resonant system. Thus, such an

argument is not persuasive.

Applicant further argues that Harvey does not teach or suggest a clock signal generated by the at

least one receiving device.

Examiner disagrees. LF is generated by the receiving device 148. LF is mentioned as feedback

clock in line 67 of column 15. Thus, Harvey teaches the claimed limitation.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as

set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a). A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to

expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed

within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened

statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee

pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In

no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the

mailing date of this final action. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier

communications from the examiner should be directed to Fahmida Rahman whose telephone

number is 571-272-8159. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday

Art Unit: 2116

8:30 - 5:30. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Rehana Perveen can be reached on 571-272-3676. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300. Information

regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information

Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from

either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available

through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-

direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the

Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from

a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call

800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Fahmida Rahman Examiner Art Unit 2116

Art Ollit 2110

/Rehana Perveen/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2116